

Data Encoding

CYBR473 - Malware and Reverse Engineering (2024/T1)

Lecturers: Arman Khouzani, Alvin Valera

Victoria University of Wellington - School of Engineering and Computer Science

- 1. The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms
- 2. Simple Ciphers
- 3. Common Cryptographic Algorithms
- 4. Custom Encoding
- 5. Decoding

 Part IV: Malware Functionality
 Ch.13: Data Encoding
 "Practical Malware Analysis: The Hands-on Guide to Dissecting Malicious Software", Michael
 Sikorski and Andrew Honig, 2012



- ▷ Why does malware use outdated encoding/encryption schemes.
- ▷ What are some common ones.
- ▷ How to identify their use.
- > How to identify custom schemes.
- ▷ How to write decoders and decryptors.

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

Simple Ciphers

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

Custom Encoding

Decoding

 2
 5
 6
 6
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7
 7

In the context of malware analysis, the term data encoding refers to all forms of content modification for the purpose of hiding intent. E.g.:

- To hide configuration information, such as a command-and-control domain
- ▷ To save information to a staging file before stealing it
- For store strings used by the malware and decode them just before they are needed
- > To disguise the malware as a legitimate tool

So their main purpose is *obfuscation* (to avoid detection or frustrating the analyst!), not encryption for confidentiality.

Simple Ciphers

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

Simple Ciphers

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

Custom Encoding

Decoding

Simple ciphers are often disparaged for being unsophisticated, but they offer many advantages for malware:

- They are small enough to be used in space-constrained environments such as exploit shellcode.
- ▷ They are less obvious than more complex ciphers.
- ▷ They have low overhead and thus little impact on performance.

Their goal is not to be immune to detection; rather an easy way to prevent basic analysis from identifying their activities.

Simpler Ciphers: Caesar Cipher

THIS MALWARE IS WRITTEN BY JULIUS CAESAR AOPZ THSDHYL PZ DYPAALU IF QBSPBZ JHLZHY



Simple Ciphers: XOR

Simple XOR cipher, aka *single-byte XOR encoding*: Each byte of plaintext is XORed with a constant byte value.

А	Т	Т	А	С	К		А	Т		Я	0	0	И
0x41	0x54	0x54	0x41	0x43	0x4B	0x20	0x41	0x54	0x20	0x4E	0x4F	0x4F	0x4E
}	h	h	}	DEL	W	FS	}	н	FS	r	s	s	r
0x7d	0x68	0x68	0x7d	0x7F	0x77	0x1C	0x7d	0x68	0x1C	0x72	0x71	0x71	0x72

An example of single-byte XOR encoding. Can you tell what the XOR key is?

(Q: what are some good properties of XOR function for this purpose?)

5F	48	42	12	10	12	12	12	16	12	1D	12	ED	ED	12	12	_HB
AA	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	52	12	08	12	12	12	12	12	R
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	13	12	12	
A8	02	12	1C	0D	A6	1B	DF	33	AA	13	5E	\mathtt{DF}	33	82	82	3^.3
46	7A	7B	61	32	62	60	7D	75	60	73	7F	32	7F	67	61	Fz{a2b`}u`s.2.ga

A weakness of the single-byte XOR encoding: If the encoded content has a large number of NULL bytes, the"key" becomes obvious. Original XOR NULL-preserving XOR

buf[i] ^= key;

if (buf[i] != 0 && buf[i] != key)
 buf[i] ^= key;

•••
•••
••
3
ga

Identifying XOR Loops in IDA Pro

- In disassembly, XOR loops can be identified by small loops with an XOR instruction in the middle of a loop.
- The easiest way to find an XOR loop in IDA Pro is to search for all instances of the XOR instruction.

Occurrences o	f: xor				- O X
Edit Search					
Address	Function	Instruction			^
.text:00401230	sub_401200	33 D 2	xor e	edx, edx	
.text:00401269	sub_401200	33 C9	xor e	ecx, ecx	
.text:00401277	sub_401200	33 C0	xor e	sax, eax	
.text:00401312	s_x_func	83 F2 12	nox	edx, 12h	
.text:00401395		33 C0	xor e	eax, eax	
.text:00401470		32 C0	s tox	al, al	
.text:004014D6		32 C0	xor a	al, al	
.text:0040151F		32 C0	xor a	al, al	M
Line 1 of 31					

Searching for XOR in IDA Pro.

Identifying XOR Loops in IDA Pro



Graphical view of single-byte XOR loop

ADD, SUB

ADD and SUB are not reversible, so they need to be used in tandem (one to encode and the other to decode).

ROL, ROR

Rotate the bits within a byte left, right (one to encode, one to decode)

Multibyte

Using longer than 1 byte key (often 4 or 8), typically XOR.

Chained or loopback

Uses the content itself as part of the key, e.g., the original key is applied at one side of the plaintext (start or end), and the encoded output is used as the key for the next block. Base64 encoding: encoding data in base 64!

Symbols needed for each base:

- ▷ Base2: 0,1
- ▷ Base8: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7
- Base10: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
- Base16: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,A,B,C,D,E,F
- ▷ Base64: ...?

Base64 encoding: encoding data in base 64!

Symbols needed for each base:

- ▷ Base2: 0,1
- ▷ Base8: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7
- Base10: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
- Base16: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,A,B,C,D,E,F
- ▷ Base64: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, +, /

Base64 encoding: encoding data in base 64! Symbols needed for each base:

- ▷ Base2: 0,1
- ▷ Base8: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7
- Base10: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
- Base16: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,A,B,C,D,E,F
- ▷ Base64: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, +, /
 - ▷ used to represent binary data in an ASCII string format.
 - originally developed to encode email attachments for transmission (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions – MIME – standard), it is now widely used for HTTP and XML.
 - ▷ we also needed an additional character to indicate padding, often =

Base64

Index	Binary	Char									
0	000000	Α	16	010000	Q	32	100000	g	48	110000	w
1	000001	В	17	010001	R	33	100001	h	49	110001	x
2	000010	С	18	010010	S	34	100010	i	50	110010	у
3	000011	D	19	010011	Τ	35	100011	j	51	110011	z
4	000100	Ε	20	010100	U	36	100100	k	52	110100	0
5	000101	F	21	010101	٧	37	100101	1	53	110101	1
6	000110	G	22	010110	W	38	100110	m	54	110110	2
7	000111	Н	23	010111	Х	39	100111	n	55	110111	3
8	001000	Ι	24	011000	Y	40	101000	0	56	111000	4
9	001001	J	25	011001	Ζ	41	101001	р	57	111001	5
10	001010	К	26	011010	а	42	101010	q	58	111010	6
11	001011	L	27	011011	b	43	101011	r	59	111011	7
12	001100	М	28	011100	с	44	101100	s	60	111100	8
13	001101	Ν	29	011101	d	45	101101	t	61	111101	9
14	001110	0	30	011110	е	46	101110	u	62	111110	+
15	001111	Р	31	011111	f	47	101111	v	63	111111	1
Pa	dding	=									

T (4000)

Octets

84 (0x54)

Sourco	Text (ASCII)				P	v I		M					1	а	a							n						
Source	Octets		77 (0x4d)							ç	97 (0)x61)							11	0 (0x6	e)					
	Bits	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	T	0	1	Τ	1	0	1	T	1	1	0
Decc64	Sextets	19				22					5				Τ	46												
Dase04	Character			1	Г					V	V						F				Τ				u			
encoded	Octets	84 (0x54)					8	87 (0x57) 70 (0x46)								Τ		1	17	(0x	75)						
																					_							
Source	Text (ASCII)				N	N							i	а														
Octets			77 (0x4d)						97 (0x61)					_														
Bits 0 1			1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	Τ	0	0	Τ							
Decc64	Sextets			1	9			22 W				4							Pad	ddi	ng							
Dase04	Character			1	Г									E							=							
encoded	Octets		8	34 (0)x54	.)		87 (0x57)					69 (0x45)				Τ	61 (0x3D)										
Source	Text (ASCII)	М																										
Source	Octets	77 (0x4d)																										
l	Bits	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0					Т			Т							
Deset	Sextets	ets 19			16						Padding				g						Pad	ddi	ng					
Base64 encoded	Character	Т				Q				=				=														
	0-+-+-	04 (0 54)						24 (0		>		(1 (0 00)				-	(1 (0 00)											

81 (0x51)

61 (0x3D)

61 (0x3D)

```
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="_002_4E36B98B966D7448815A3216ACF82AA201ED633ED1MBX3THNDRBIRD_"
MIME-Version: 1.0
--_002_4E36B98B966D7448815A3216ACF82AA201ED633ED1MBX3THNDRBIRD_
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
```

SWYgeW91IGFyZSByZWFkaW5nIHRoaXMsIHlvdSBwcm9iYWJseSBzaG91bGQganVzdCBza2lwIHRoaX MgY2hhcHRlciBhbmQgZ28gdG8gdGhlIG5leHQgb25lLiBEbyB5b3UgcmVhbGx5IGhhdmUgdGhlIHRp bWUgdG8gdHlwZSB0aGlzIHdob2xlIHN0cmluZyBpbj8gWW91IGFyZSBvYnZpb3VzbHkgdGFsZW50ZW QuIE1heWJ1IHlvdSBzaG91bGQgY29udGFjdCB0aGUgYXV0aG9ycyBhbmQgc2VlIGlmIH

```
GET /X29tbVEuYC8=/index.htm
User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1)
Host: www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com
Connection: Keep-Alive
Cookie: Ym90NTQxNjQ
```

```
GET /c2UsYi1kYWM0cnUjdFlvbiAjb21wbFU0YP==/index.htm
User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1)
Host: www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com
Connection: Keep-Alive
Cookie: Ym90NTQxNjQ
```

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

Simple Ciphers

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

Custom Encoding

Decoding

The simple cipher schemes are trivially susceptible to brute-force. Their main purpose is to obscure.

Why then, does malware not always take advantage of serious cryptography for hiding its sensitive information?

- ▷ simple cipher schemes are easy and often sufficient.
- Cryptographic libraries can be large
- ▷ Linking to code that exists on the host may reduce portability.
- Standard cryptographic libraries are easily detected (via imports, function matching, or identification of cryptographic constants).
- Symmetric encryption algorithms need to worry about how to hide the key.

One way to identify standard cryptographic algorithms is by recognizing strings that refer to the use of cryptography. This can occur when cryptographic libraries such as OpenSSL are statically compiled into malware. Example:

OpenSSL 1.0.0a SSLv3 part of OpenSSL 1.0.0a TLSv1 part of OpenSSL 1.0.0a SSLv2 part of OpenSSL 1.0.0a You need to read the OpenSSL FAQ, http://www.openssl.org/support/faq.html %s(%d): OpenSSL internal error, assertion failed: %s AES for x86, CRYPTOGAMS by <appro@openssl.org>

Identifying standard cryptography: Strings and Imports

Another way to look for standard cryptography is to identify imports that reference cryptographic functions, e.g. that provide services related to hashing, key generation, and encryption.

Most of the Microsoft functions that pertain to cryptography start with **Crypt**, **CP** (for Cryptographic Provider), or **Cert**.

IDA View-A 🛛	🟦 Hex View-A 🖾 🐧	Structures En Enums E 🛱 Imports 🛛 🏦 Exports	
Address Ordinal	Name	Library	~
E 0408A068	RegEnumKeyExA	ADVAPI32	
₫ <mark>\$</mark> 0408A0	CryptAcquireContextA	ADVAPI32	_
₫ <mark>2</mark> 0408A070	CryptCreateHash	ADVAPI32	
1€B0408A074	CryptHashD ata	ADVAPI32	
0408A078	CryptDeriveKey	ADVAPI32	
B ^B _b 0408A0	CryptDestroyHash	ADVAPI32	
€ 04084080	CryptDecrypt	ADVAPI32	
B ^B 0408A084	CryptEncrypt	ADVAPI32	
t∰ 0408A088	RegOpenKeyExA	ADVAPI32	~

IDA Pro imports listing showing cryptographic functions.

Another method is via tools that search for commonly used cryptographic constants. This works because most cryptographic algorithms employ some type of magic constant (some fixed string of bits that is associated with the essential structure of the algorithm)

Example tools: IDA Pro's FindCrypt2 and Krypto ANALyzer.

Output window	
100062A4: found const array DES_ip (used in DES) 100062E4: found const array DES_eTp (used in DES) 100062E4: found const array DES_eT (used in DES)	<u>^</u>
10006354: found const array DES_p21 (used in DES) 10006374: found const array DES_p21 (used in DES) 100063AC: found const array DES_p22 (used in DES)	
Pound 7 known constarray be_soox (used in bes) Pound 7 known constant arrays in total.	<u></u>

Example output of the IDA Pro's plugin: FindCrypt2.

NOTE: Some cryptographic algorithms, like **IDEA** and **RC4**, build their structures on the fly, and thus are not identified. **RC4**, because it is also small and easy to implement, is often employed by malware.

Identifying standard cryptography: Cryptographic Constants

Another tool that uses the same principles is the **Krypto ANALyzer** (KANAL). KANAL is a plug-in for **PEiD**. It also recognizes Base64 tables and cryptography-related function imports.

PEID v0.95	_ X	월 KANAL v2.92	_O×
File: C:\Documents and Settings\us	er\Desktop\malware.dl_	File C:\Documents and Settings\	user\Desktop\malv
Entrypoint: 000213ED File Offset: 000207ED Linker Info: 6.0	EP Section: .text > First Bytes: 55,88,EC,53 > Subsystem: Win32 GUI >	Instantian Index (Instantian) Instantian Index (Instantian) CryptGreateHash [Import] :: 00088 CryptGreateHash [Import] :: 000888 CryptHashData [Import] :: 000888	BE70 :: 1008A0 0 :: 1008A080 578 :: 1008A07 4 :: 1008A084 524 :: 1008A07 74 :: 1008A07
Microsoft Visual C++ 6.0 DLL [Overla	9V]		··· •
Multi Scan Task Viewer	Options About Exit	About Export BASE64 encoding (used e.g. in e-mails	Close

Example **PEiD** and **Krypto ANALyzer (KANAL)** output, finding a **Base64** table, a **CRC32** constant, and several **Crypt...** import functions in a malware.

Identifying standard cryptography: High-Entropy Content

Another way to identify the use of cryptography is to search for high-entropy content. In addition to potentially highlighting cryptographic constants or cryptographic keys, this technique can also identify encrypted content itself.

The IDA Entropy Plugin is one tool that implements this technique:

Entr	opy Calculator				6	An	alyze	1000524C - 0x10008000			
	Mama	Address	Langth	February		1		Address	Length	Entropy	
1 2 3 4	_text _idata _rdata _data	10001000 10006000 1000624C 10008000	00005000 0000024C 00001D84 00004000	6.023256 0.246023 4.955000 1.340478		1 2 3 4 5		100062A4 100062A5 100062A6 100062E4 100062E5	0000003F 0000003F 0000003E 0000003F 0000003F	5.977280 5.977280 5.954196 5.977280 5.977280	
						6		100062E6	0000003E	5.954196	
Add	ress 1000624C	0	Step size	1	5.516492						
Len	th 000002E1	-0	Max Entropy	5.95	Calculate						
Chun	k size 00000040	0	Deep Ana	lyze (Slow!)	Draw						
					Exit						

IDA Pro Entropy Plugin

Identifying standard cryptography: High-Entropy Content



Entropy graph for a malicious executable.

Custom Encoding

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

Simple Ciphers

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

Custom Encoding

Decoding

Malware often uses homegrown encoding schemes.

One such scheme is to layer multiple simple encoding methods. For example, malware may perform one round of **xor** encryption and then perform **Base64** encoding on the result.

Another scheme is to simply develop a custom algorithm, possibly with similarities to a standard published cryptographic algorithm.

Finding the encoding algorithm the hard way entails tracing the thread of execution from the suspicious input or output.

Inputs and outputs can be treated as generic categories. No matter whether the malware sends a network packet, writes to a file, or writes to standard output, those are all outputs.

If outputs are suspected of containing encoded data, then the encoding function will occur prior to the output.

Conversely, decoding will occur not far after an input. Follow the execution path forward.

Output functions are similar, except that the tracing must be done opposite the flow of execution.

Identifying Custom Encoding



Example function graph showing an encrypted write.

For the attacker, custom-encoding methods have their advantages:

- they can retain the characteristics of simple encoding schemes (small size and non-obvious use of encryption).
- making the job of the reverse engineer more difficult.

With many types of standard cryptography, if the cryptographic algorithm is identified and the key found, it is fairly easy to write a decryptor using standard libraries.

With custom encoding, attackers can create any encoding scheme they want, which may or may not use an explicit key (the key can be embedded (and obscured) within the code itself).

Even if the attacker uses a key and the key is found, it is unlikely that a freely available library will be available to assist with the decryption.

Decoding

The Goal of Analyzing Encoding Algorithms

Simple Ciphers

Common Cryptographic Algorithms

Custom Encoding

Decoding

Typically we want to decode the encoded content. Two ways:

- ▷ Reprogram the functions (build a decoder).
- $\triangleright~$ Use the functions as they exist in the malware itself.

self-decoding: (in a debugger) let the program itself perform the decryption in the course of its normal activities

cheap and effective, but drawbacks:

- you must isolate the decryption function and set a breakpoint directly after the decryption routine.
- if the malware doesn't happen to decrypt the information you are interested in (or you cannot figure out how to coax the malware into doing so), you are out of luck.

Manual Programming of Decoding Functions

- For simple ciphers and encoding methods, you can often use the standard functions available within a programming language.
 For example, base64.b64decode(str) in python for Base64 decoding.
- For simple encoding methods that lack standard functions, such as XOR encoding or Base64 encoding that uses a modified alphabet, often the easiest course of action is to just program or script the encoding function in the language of your choice.

```
from Crypto.Cipher import DES
des = DES.new(key, DES.MODE_ECB)
cfile = open('encrypted_file','r')
cbuf = cfile.read()
print obj.decrypt(cbuf)
cfile.close()
```

Instrumentation: executing a programme using directed input in order to diagnose errors and to write trace information.

It is useful when dealing with cases where the cryptography is too complex to emulate and is also nonstandard.

Once encoding or decoding routines are isolated and the parameters are understood, it is possible to exploit malware to decode arbitrary content using instrumentation, effectively using it against itself.

004011A9	push	ebp
004011AA	mov	ebp, esp
004011AC	sub	esp, 14h
004011AF	push	ebx
004011B0	mov	[ebp+counter], 0
004011B7	mov	[ebp+NumberOfBytesWritten], 0
004011F5	loc_4011F5: ;	CODE XREF: encrypted_Write+46j
004011F5	call	encrypt_Init
004011FA		

Using Instrumentation for Generic Decryption

004011FA	loc_4011FA:	; CODE XREF: encrypted_Write+7Fj
004011FA	mov	ecx, [ebp+counter]
004011FD	cmp	<pre>ecx, [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite]</pre>
00401200	jnb	short loc_40122A
00401202	mov	edx, [ebp+lpBuffer]
00401205	add	edx, [ebp+counter]
00401208	movsx	ebx, byte ptr [edx]
0040120B	call	encrypt_Byte
00401210	and	eax, 0FFh
00401215	xor	ebx, eax
00401217	mov	<pre>eax, [ebp+lpBuffer]</pre>
0040121A	add	eax, [ebp+counter]
0040121D	mov	[eax], bl
0040121F	mov	ecx, [ebp+counter]
00401222	add	ecx, 1
00401225	mov	[ebp+counter], ecx
00401228	jmp	short loc_4011FA
0040122A		
0040122A	loc_40122A:	; CODE XREF: encrypted_Write+57j
0040122A	push	0 ; lpOverlapped
0040122C	lea	<pre>edx, [ebp+NumberOfBytesWritten]</pre>

We can find out a couple of key information through analysis:

- The function sub_40112F initializes the encryption, and is the start of the encryption routine, called at address 0x4011F5. In the listing, this function is labeled encrypt_Init.
- ▷ The encryption has completed when we reach at **0x40122A**.
- We know several of the variables and arguments that are used in the encryption function. These include the counter and two arguments: the buffer (lpBuffer) to be encrypted or decrypted and the length (nNumberOfBytesToWrite) of the buffer.

Our high-level goal is to instrument the malware so that it takes the encrypted file, the malware itself, and runs it through the same routine it used for encryption. (We are assuming based on the use of **xor** that the function is reversible.)

This high-level goal can be broken down into a series of tasks:

- 1. Set up the malware in a debugger.
- 2. Prepare the encrypted file for reading and prepare an output file for writing.
- 3. Allocate memory inside the debugger so that the malware can reference the memory.
- 4. Load the encrypted file into the allocated memory region.
- 5. Set up the malware with appropriate variables and arguments for the encryption function.
- 6. Run the encryption function to perform the encryption.
- 7. Write the newly decrypted memory region to the output file

The following **Immunity Debugger** python script does these tasks.

Using Instrumentation for Generic Decryption

```
import immlib
def main ():
   imm = immlib.Debugger()
   cfile = open("C:\\enc file", "rb")
                                        # Open encrypted file for read
   pfile = open("decrypted file", "w")
                                        # Open file for plaintext
   buffer = cfile.read()
                                        # Read encrypted file into buffer
   sz = len(buffer)
                                        # Get length of buffer
   membuf = imm.remoteVirtualAlloc(sz)
                                       # Allocate memory within debugger
   imm.writeMemory(membuf, buffer)
                                        # Copy into debugged process's memory
   imm.setReg("EIP", 0x004011A9)
                                       # Start of function header
                                       # After function header
    imm.setBreakpoint(0x004011b7)
   imm.Run()
                                        # Execute function header
   regs = imm.getRegs()
    imm.writeLong(regs["EBP"]+16, sz)  # Set NumberOfBytesToWrite stack varia
    imm.writeLong(regs["EBP"]+8, membuf) # Set lpBuffer stack variable
    imm.setReg("EIP", 0x004011f5)
                                       # Start of crypto
    imm.setBreakpoint(0x0040122a)
                                       # End of crypto loop
   imm.Run()
                                        # Execute crypto loop
   output = imm.readMemory(membuf, sz)
                                       # Read answer
   pfile.write(output)
                                       # Write answer
                                                                         42
```

- ▷ **immlib.Debugger** provides programmatic access to debugger.
- Note the **rb** option on the python **open** command that ensures that data is interpreted correctly as "binary" when reading.
- imm.remoteVirtualAlloc allocates memory within the malware process space inside the debugger. This is the memory that can be directly referenced by the malware.
- imm.getRegs gets the current register values so that EBP can be used to locate the two arguments: the memory buffer to be decrypted and its size. imm.writeLong sets these arguments.

The actual running of the code is done in two stages:

- The initial portion of code run is the start of the function, which sets up the stack frame and sets the counter to zero. This is from 0x004011A9 (where EIP is set) until 0x004011b7 (where a breakpoint, set by imm.setBreakpoint, stops execution).
- The second part is the actual encryption loop, from 0x004011f5 (where EIP is set), through the loop one time for each byte decrypted, until the loop is exited and 0x0040122a is reached (where the second breakpoint stops execution).
- Finally, the same buffer is read out of the process memory (using imm.readMemory) and output to a file (using pfile.write).

Using Instrumentation for Generic Decryption

In this example, the encryption function stood alone: It didn't have any dependencies and was fairly straightforward.

However, Some encoding functions require initialization, possibly with a key, which may not even reside in the malware, but acquired from an outside source over the network.

In order to support decoding in these cases, it is necessary to first have the malware properly prepared:

- it may merely mean that the malware needs to start up in the normal fashion, if, e.g., it uses an embedded password as a key.
- in other cases, it may be necessary to customize the external environment. For example, it may be necessary either to script the key-setup algorithm with the appropriate key material or to simulate the server sending the key.

Next: Malware-Focused Network Signatures