SWEN 422 Lecture 7 Interaction

Dr Jennifer Ferreira 20 March 2024



Agenda

- Review of previous lecture
- Building interactive systems
- Modeling the user
- Modeling tasks
- User-centred design

Topics covered in previous lecture

- Biases, reliability, validity, credibility, dependability
- How many participants?
- Participants' rights and getting consent
- Covert/undercover usability testing
- Valuing user feedback
- When not to evaluate?

Human-Computer Interaction

Interaction

- between a biological information processor (i.e., the brain) and a mechanical information processor (i.e., the computer)
- happens at the interface
- <u>Cognitive</u> processes define how the human brain processes input/information -> cognitive psychology
- **<u>Representation</u>** at the interface affects cognition

- Attention
- Perception
- Memory
- Learning
- Reading

- Speaking
- Listening
- Problem-solving
- Reasoning
- Planning

- Attention
- Perception
- Memory
- Learning
- Reading





- Speaking
- Listening
- Problem-solving
- Reasoning
- Planning



https://www.zurich.ie/blog/motorway-driving-tips-fornervous-drivers/

https://engoo.com/app/lessons/placing-an-order/PXEXXiQ4EeexNotf1uYFJA

- Attention
- Perception
- Memory
- Learning
- Reading

- Speaking
- Listening
- Problem-solving
- Reasoning
- Planning





- Attention
- Perception
- Memory
- Learning
- Reading



https://www.heralddispatch.com/special/visitors_guide/understandin g-how-to-use-a-compass/article_ecefafa3-e84d-57b8-ae67-856e0097c93e.html

- Speaking
- Listening
- Problem-solving
- Reasoning
- Planning



https://thenewstack.io/7-best-practices-for-data-visualization/

SWEN422 Dr Jennifer Ferreira 2024

Let's play a game: the game of "15."

- The "pieces" for the game are the nine digits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.
- Each player takes a digit in turn.
- Once a digit is taken, it cannot be used by the other player.
- The first player to get three digits that sum to 15 wins.

https://pages.ucsd.edu/~johnson/COGS102A/Norman94Chap3

Sample game: Player A takes 8. Player B takes 2. Player A takes 4. Player B takes 3. Player A takes 5.

Question 1: Suppose you are now to step in and play for B. What move would you make?

https://pages.ucsd.edu/~johnson/COGS102A/Norman94Chap3

Sample game:

Question 2: Suppose you are now to step in and play a O for B. What move would you make?

https://pages.ucsd.edu/~johnson/COGS102A/Norman94Chap3

SWEN422 Dr Jennifer Ferreira 2023

Sample game: Player A takes 8.

Player B takes 2.

Player A takes 4.

Player B takes 3.

Player A takes 5.

Question 1: Suppose you are now to step in and play for B. What move would you make?

https://pages.ucsd.edu/~johnson/COGS102A/Norman94Chap3

A game of arithmetic, which requires reflective cognition

Sample game:

Question 2: Suppose you are now to step in and play an O for B. What move would you make?

A game of space, which requires experiential cognition

https://pages.ucsd.edu/~johnson/COGS102A/Norman94Chap3

SWEN422 Dr Jennifer Ferreira 2023

Conclusions about representation

Sample game: Player A takes 8. Player B takes 2. Player A takes 4.

Player B takes 3.

Player A takes 5.

- Representation changes the task
 - **Reflective cognition** is difficult without
 - aid > cognitive load



Sample game:





FIGURE 1.1 Building map or word? What you see depends on what you were told to see.



THE CHT

FIGURE 1.4 The same character is perceived as H or A depending on the surrounding letters.



FIGURE 1.8 Toolbox: Are there scissors here?

Was there a screwdriver in the toolbox?

SWEN422 Dr Jennifer Ferreira 2023

Perception is biased (Johnson 2020)

- *The past:* our experience
- *The present:* the current context
- The future: our goals

How



What should be designed and built?



What should be designed and built?

- know about the user's cognition
 - know about what the user wants to do

The human brain is a computer

<u>The Model Human Processor: An Engineering</u> <u>Model of Human Performance by Card, Moran and</u> <u>Newell</u> (1986)

- Humans perceive inputs -> process and store
 -> produce outputs
- The mind as an information processor
- Engineering model of cognition used to predict user response
 - Their aim was to make psychological knowledge more accessible to engineers



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_processor_model

Parameter	Mean	Range		
Eye movement time	230 ms	70–700 ms		
Decay half-life of visual image storage	200 ms	90–1000 ms		
Visual Capacity	17 letters	7–17 letters		
Decay half-life of auditory storage	1500 ms	90–3500 ms		
Auditory Capacity	5 letters	4.4-6.2 letters		
Perceptual processor cycle time	100 ms	50–200 ms		
Cognitive processor cycle time	70 ms	25–170 ms		
Motor processor cycle time	70 ms	30–100 ms		
Effective working memory capacity	7 chunks	5–9 chunks		
Pure working memory capacity	3 chunks	2.5–4.2 chunks		
Decay half-life of working memory	7 sec	5–226 sec		
Decay half-life of 1 chunk working memory	73 sec	73–226 sec		
Decay half-life of 3 chunks working memory	7 sec	5–34 sec		

9 Principles of Operation

• P5: Fitt's law (proposed in 1954)

 time required to move to a target depends on the distance to it, yet relates inversely to its size.

- P9: <u>Power Law of Practice</u> (proposed in 1928)
 - The more a task is repeated the faster it can be performed (not accounting for quality or knowledge acquisition).

Limitations of the human brain is a computer metaphor

- Aging humans
- Limited to an individual
- Ignores the environment of the user
- No account for learning, distractions, task switching
- Interacting with things outside the specific interface for problem-solving
- <u>Perhaps the brain is NOT an information</u> <u>processor</u>







https://www.netsuite.eu/products/experience/user-interface.shtml



	Time & Freenese Elessorials Documents	Admin Russed	ų		• • • • • •	- BRINGTECH	ervices - Prof Brics Dir
Project Dashboard International c	onsulting						Personalize -
Project Info	Key Performance Indicators						
Project Name	Project Forecasted Charges	Project Total Hours	Project Incurred Costs				
Client Attima Technology	170.0%	42.8	4 1.6%				
Scheduled Start Date 3/31/2014	INDICATOR	PERIOD	CURRENT	PREVIOUS	CHANGE		
Calculated End Date	Project % Complete	Current	66.70%				
Project Status	Project Forecasted Charges	This Month vs. Next Month	\$8,500	\$5,000	70.0%		
On Hold	Project Total Hours Worked	This Month vs. Last Month	95	166	42.8%		
	Project Incurred Costs	This Month vs. Last Month	\$12,265	\$12,470	1.8%		
Project Links	Project Total Amount Invoiced	This Month vs. Last Month	\$13,440	\$17,150	21.6%		
Create Project Task Manage Resources	Newsgaton we we we we have been to fit we we we we have a set of the set of	Vex Resolution 10 Weeks 1 Year	Piter & Analysis Options Highlight Ortical Pair > Highlight Statis Langer T Prior Tasks With Progress 4 20% > South Statis Task. Ø Shoe Raastine Separator for test.			is Longer Than 7 Days Task	
Gant Chart Enter Time Enter Expenses	Tele Red	Mart 31 Mar 2014	Mon 07 Apr	2014	Ban 14 Apr 2014	Mar 21 Apr 2014	Mari 28 Apr 2014
P&L Cost Category Revenue Cost Profit Margin	v ∰ International consulting 5910014 v ∰ Initiate 3910014	40n 54.34% 62h 1005		-7			-

https://www.netsuite.eu/products/experience/user-interface.shtml

Mental models

- Users develop an understanding of a system through learning about and using it
 - How to use the system (what to do next)
 - What to do with unfamiliar systems or unexpected situations (how the system works)
- People make inferences using mental models about how to carry out tasks
- Shallow (driving a car) vs. deep (also knowing how a car works)

How to access the user's mental model?

- Through what they *say*
 - <u>Thinking aloud testing</u> you ask test participants to use the system while continuously thinking out loud...verbalizing their thoughts as they move through the user interface. (<u>NN/g</u>)
- Through what they *do*
 - <u>Card sorting</u> labels written on notecards according to criteria that make sense to them. This method uncovers how the target audience's domain knowledge is structured. (<u>NN/g</u>)
 - <u>Contextual Inquiry</u> observe users in their natural environment as they conduct their activities, asking them questions. (<u>NN/g</u>)



NETSUITE	ShieldTECH	Search					Q	3	· 0 ····	<u>19</u> -	Mars Cellins Shiel/TECH Service	na - Prof Breis Dir
3 * 6 Ad	ivities CRM PSA Time	& Expense	Financials	Documents	Admin	Support						
Project Dashboar	d International con	sulting										Personalize -
Project Info		Key Perform	nance Ind	cators								
Project Name		Project Forecasted Charges			Project Total Hours Worked			Project Incurred Costs				
Client Altima Technology		* 70.0%				42.8%			4 1.6%			
Scheduled Start Date 3/31/2014		INDICATOR			PERIOD		CURRENT	PREVIOUS	CHANGE			
Calculated End Date \$27/2014		Project %	Complete		Current		66.70%					
Project Status		Project	Forecasted	Charges	This Me	oth vs. Next Month	\$8,500	\$5,000	70.0%			
On Held	View Edit	Project	t Total Hour	Worked	This Mo	oth vs. Last Month	95	166	42.8%			
		Project Incurred Costs			This Me	oth vs. Last Month	\$12,265	\$12,470	1.0%			
Project Links		Project Total Amount Invoiced		This Mo	nth vs. Last Month	\$13,440	\$17,150	21.6%				
	80	Gantt Char	1									
Create Project Task Manage Resources								-	Pile	r & Acu	lysia Options	
8	2	an an	Colleges All	Plane to Fe	10 Weeks 1	Your		Filer	grit, Critical Path Taska With Progress	• 20%	 Highlight, Taska Long Scrott To Last Task, Search Tor task. 	ger Than 7 Days
Gant Chart Enter Tr	ne Enter Digenses					Mar 31 Mar 2014	Mon 07 Apr	2014	Non 14 Apr 2014	-	lan 21 Apr 2014	Mar 28 Apr 2014
		Taska v 🖉 International	consulting	Bart 3/01/02/14	Duration % D	H T W T F I	S M T W		чт чт г в	6 M	T W T F 8 8	MTWT
Cost Category Revenue C	ost Profit Margin	w iljs tritlate		3912014	62h 100			-7				
			_				-					
							1					
							1					
			1				1					

https://www.netsuite.eu/products/experience/user-interface.shtml



Understanding human action

Action Regulation Theory

Solving a task or problem means that the user has to follow four steps:

- (1) goal setting,
- (2) planning and selection of means,
- (3) perform the selected action,
- (4) check the outcome against the intended goal.

Norman (1986, 1988)

Action is an execution-evaluation cycle comprising seven stages:

- (1) setting a goal,
- (2) developing an intention to act,
- (3) planning a sequence of actions,
- (4) executing the sequence of actions,
- (5) perceiving the state of the world caused by the execution of the action sequence,
- (6) interpreting the perception, and
- (7) evaluating the interpretation.

If the goal is achieved, the action is completed. If not, the cycle is repeated over again or the action is terminated.

Task analysis

- **Tasks** are goal-oriented actions, can be decomposed into sub-tasks
- **Goals** are desired future states
- Plans specify task process
- Complexity is based on
 - number of actions/operations required
 - length of the goal-directed sequence
 - number of possible actions/operations
- Useful for sharing with clients to validate designs
- Provides requirements to developers
- Represents data requirements and flow

Hierarchical Task Analysis



https://blogs.city.ac.uk/dimitrakopoulo-inm452-2016/2017/01/07/hierarchical-task-analysis-hta/

Task analysis: Plans

- Types of plan
 - sequence 1.1 then 1.2 then 2.1
 - optional if the pot is full 2
 - wait when kettle boils, do 1.4
 - cycles do 5.1 5.2 while there are still empty cups
 - parallel do 1; at the same time
 - discretionary do any of 1.3.1, 1.3.2 or 1.3.3 in any order
- Most plans use several of these.

Task analysis questions

- Preconditions: what must be satisfied before it is reasonable or possible to attempt the task?
- Information needs: what must the user know in order to do the task?
- Where/when are the tasks performed?
- How often are they performed?
- Are there time/resource constraints?
- How is the task learned?
- What can go wrong (exceptions, errors, emergencies)?
- Who else is involved in the task?

How to do task analysis

- Interview users and observe them
- Ask them questions about what they are doing
 - Why do you do this? (goal)
 - How do you do it? (tasks/subtasks)
- Look for weaknesses in current situation
 Goal failures, wasted time, user irritation

How to do task analysis

- When is decomposition complete?
 - When the user can execute without problem solving (but note this may differ for different users)
 - Above 'device specific' implementation details (but note shape of task is often device dependent)
 - Suggested heuristic is to stop when probability of error (p) multiplied by cost of error (c) is below threshold





Analyse tasks



Analyse tasks

A classic HCI framework

Don Norman's (1988) framework of the relationship between the design of a conceptual model and a user's understanding of it

Consists of three interacting components:

- The Designer's Model
 - The model the designer has of how the system should work
- System Image
 - How the system actually works, which is portrayed to the user through the interface, manuals, help facilities, and so on
- The User's Model
 - How the user understands how the system works



User-centered design is an iterative process that focuses on an understanding of the users and their context in all stages of design and development.

https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/topics/user-centered-design

User-centred design (UCD)

- Proven that UCD is more likely to meet user needs, expectations, and requirements
- Empirical approach
 - Empiricism is the philosophy that all knowledge originates in experience and observations. It's a cornerstone of the scientific method and underlies much of modern science and medicine.
 [scrum.org]
- Combine various methods
 - Observations, interviews, usability tests, etc.

Further reading

- Is your brain a computer? MIT Technology Review
- Hacker, W. (1994). Action regulation theory and occupational psychology: Review of German empirical research since 1987. *German Journal of Psychology*.
- French, A., Taylor, L. K., & Lemke, M. R. (2019). Task analysis. In *Applied human factors in medical device design* (pp. 63-81). Academic Press.
- Beyer, H. and K. Holtzblatt (1997). Contextual design: defining customer-centred systems. Morgan Kaufmann.
- D. Diaper (2004) Understanding Task Analysis for Human-Computer Interaction. In Diaper & Stanton (eds.) The handbook of task analysis for human-computer interaction. Lawrence Erlbaum, London.
- MIT Resources on User-Centered Design