

SWEN430 - Compiler Engineering

Lecture 6 - Operational Semantics II

Dr David J. Pearce

*School of Engineering and Computer Science
Victoria University of Wellington*

Semantics for $\lambda_{\bar{w}}$

- Semantics specified in **small step** style as transitions:

$$\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma', e' \rangle$$

- Here, Σ represents the **call stack**
- Call stack made up from one or more **stack frames** (or **activation records**), σ , separated by “::” (i.e. $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1 :: \sigma_2 :: \dots :: \sigma_n\}$)
- Each stack frame is a map from variable names to values
- We will use notation $\Sigma(n)$ to look up the value stored in variable named n by checking the stack frames *from the top of the stack downwards*
- We will use notation $\Sigma :: \{n \mapsto v\}$ to add a new mapping from variable named n to value v to the top of the stack
- Finally, we will use notation $\Sigma[n \mapsto v]$ to replace a mapping already at the top of the stack, and if its missing, to add new mapping for n to the topmost stack frame.

Examples

$$\langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x + 1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, 1 + 1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, 2 \rangle$$
$$\langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x = x + 1; \text{return } x \rangle$$
$$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x = 1 + 1; \text{return } x \rangle$$
$$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x = 2; \text{return } x \rangle$$
$$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \text{return } x \rangle$$
$$\hookrightarrow \langle \emptyset, 2 \rangle$$

Semantics for λ_W (Part 1 — Expressions)

$$\frac{\Sigma(n) = v}{\langle \Sigma, n \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v \rangle} \quad (\text{R-VAR})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, e_1(e_2) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1(e_2) \rangle} \quad (\text{R-APP1})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_2 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, v_1(e_2) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v_1(e'_2) \rangle} \quad (\text{R-APP2})$$

$$\frac{v_1 = \lambda x. \bar{s}}{\langle \Sigma, v_1(v_2) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma :: \{x \mapsto v_2\}, \bar{s} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-APP3})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, e_1 \text{ op } e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1 \text{ op } e_2 \rangle} \quad (\text{R-BINARY1})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_2 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, v_1 \text{ op } e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v_1 \text{ op } e'_2 \rangle} \quad (\text{R-BINARY2})$$

$$\frac{\vdash v_1 \text{ op } v_2 = v_3}{\langle \Sigma, v_1 \text{ op } v_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v_3 \rangle} \quad (\text{R-BINARY3})$$

Semantics for λ_W (Part 2 — Statements & Programs)

$$\frac{}{\langle \sigma_1 :: \dots :: \sigma_n :: \sigma_{n+1}, \text{return } v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma_1 :: \dots :: \sigma_n, v \rangle} \quad (\text{R-RETURN1})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e' \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, \text{return } e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \text{return } e' \rangle} \quad (\text{R-RETURN2})$$

$$\frac{\Sigma' = \Sigma[n \mapsto v]}{\langle \Sigma, n = v ; \bar{s} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma', \bar{s} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-ASSIGN1})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e' \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, n = e ; \bar{s} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, n = e' ; \bar{s} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-ASSIGN2})$$

$$\frac{f_1 = T_1 n_1 (T_2 n_2) \{ \bar{s} \}}{\langle \Sigma, f_1 \dots f_n e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma[n_1 \mapsto \lambda n_2 : T_2. \bar{s}], f_2 \dots f_n e \rangle} \quad (\text{R-PROG})$$

An Example Reduction

- $\langle \emptyset, \text{int } f(\text{int } x) \{ \text{return } x \} f(1) \rangle$
 - $\hookrightarrow \langle \{ f \mapsto \lambda x. (\text{return } x) \}, f(1) \rangle$ (R-PROG)
 - $\hookrightarrow \langle \{ f \mapsto \lambda x. (\text{return } x) \}, (\lambda x. (\text{return } x))(1) \rangle$ (R-VAR, R-APP1)
 - $\hookrightarrow \langle \{ f \mapsto \lambda x. (\text{return } x) \} :: \{ x \mapsto 1 \}, (\text{return } x) \rangle$ (R-APP3)
 - $\hookrightarrow \langle \{ f \mapsto \lambda x. (\text{return } x) \} :: \{ x \mapsto 1 \}, (\text{return } 1) \rangle$ (R-VAR, R-RETURN2)
 - $\hookrightarrow \langle \{ f \mapsto \lambda x. (\text{return } x) \}, 1 \rangle$ (R-RETURN1)

Curious $\lambda_{\bar{w}}$ Programs

- Consider the following $\lambda_{\bar{w}}$ programs:

1) `1 + true`

2) `int f(int x) { x = true; return x } f(1)`

3) `int f(int x) { return x } f(true)`

Q) Which of these will **still reduce** under our semantics?

Extending λ_W

- There are lots of **missing features** from λ_W !
 - **Conditional** statements — i.e. `if (e) { ... } else { ... }`
 - **Loop** statements — i.e. `while (e) { ... }`
 - **Variable Declarations** — i.e. `int x = 1`
 - **Record data types** — i.e. `{int x, int y}, r.f, etc`
 - **Array data types** — i.e. `[int], [1,2,3], |xs|, etc`
- Let's consider how to **extend** λ_W with these features...

Conditional Statements

$s ::= \dots$ $\text{if}(e) \{ \overline{s_t} \} \text{ else } \{ \overline{s_f} \}$	<i>statements</i> <i>conditional</i>
---	---

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e' \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, \text{if}(e) \{ \overline{s_t} \} \text{ else } \{ \overline{s_f} \} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \text{if}(e') \{ \overline{s_t} \} \text{ else } \{ \overline{s_f} \} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-IF1})$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \Sigma, \text{if}(\text{true}) \{ \overline{s_t} \} \text{ else } \{ \overline{s_f} \} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \overline{s_t} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-IF2})$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \Sigma, \text{if}(\text{false}) \{ \overline{s_t} \} \text{ else } \{ \overline{s_f} \} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \overline{s_f} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-IF3})$$

- Example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{x \mapsto \text{true}\}, \text{if}(x) \{ \text{return } 1 \} \text{ else } \{ \text{return } 2 \} \rangle \\ & \hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto \text{true}\}, \text{if}(\text{true}) \{ \text{return } 1 \} \text{ else } \{ \text{return } 2 \} \rangle \quad (\text{R-VAR}, \text{R-IF1}) \\ & \hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto \text{true}\}, \text{return } 1 \rangle \quad (\text{R-IF2}) \end{aligned}$$

Loops

$s ::=$ *statements*
...
 $\text{while}(e) \{ \bar{s} \}$ *while loop*

$\langle \Sigma, \text{while}(e) \{ \bar{s} \} \rangle$ (R-WHILE)
 $\longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \text{if}(e) \{ \bar{s}; \text{while}(e) \{ \bar{s} \} \} \text{else} \{ \} \rangle$

Loops Example

$\langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \text{if}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-WHILE)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \text{if}(1 < 2) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-VAR, R-IF1)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \text{if}(\text{true}) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-BINARY3, R-IF1)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-IF2)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, x = 2; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-VAR, R-BINARY3)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-ASSIGN1)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \text{if}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-WHILE)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \text{if}(2 < 2) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-VAR, R-IF1)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \text{if}(\text{false}) \{ x = x + 1; \text{while}(x < 2) \{ x = x + 1 \} \} \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-BINARY3, R-IF1)
$\hookrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 2\}, \{ \dots \} \rangle$	(R-IF3)

Variable Declarations

s	$::=$	\dots	<i>statements</i>
		$\text{T } n = e$	<i>variable declaration</i>

$$\frac{\Sigma' = \Sigma[n \mapsto v]}{\langle \Sigma, \text{T } n = v ; \bar{s} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma', \bar{s} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-VARDECL1})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e' \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, \text{T } n = e ; \bar{s} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \text{T } n = e' ; \bar{s} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-VARDECL2})$$

- Variable declarations are **surprisingly straightforward...**
... at least from the perspective of **semantics!**

Records

e	::=	...	<i>expressions</i>
		$\{\overline{n : e}\}$	<i>record constructor</i>
		e.f	<i>field access</i>
T	::=	...	<i>types</i>
		$\{\overline{T n}\}$	<i>record type</i>

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_k \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_k \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, \{\overline{n : v}, n_k : e_k, \overline{n : e}\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, \{\overline{n : v}, n_k : e'_k, \overline{n : e}\} \rangle} \quad (\text{R-RECORD})$$

$$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e' \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, e.f \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'.f \rangle} \quad (\text{R-FIELD1})$$

$$\frac{}{\langle \Sigma, \{f : v, \overline{n : v}\}.f \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v \rangle} \quad (\text{R-FIELD2})$$

$$\langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \{f_1 : x, f_2 : 3\}.f_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, \{f_1 : 1, f_2 : 3\}.f_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, 1 \rangle$$

Arrays

e	$::=$	\dots	<i>expressions</i>
		$[\bar{e}]$	<i>array constructor</i>
		$e_1[e_2]$	<i>array access</i>
T	$::=$	\dots	<i>types</i>
		$T[]$	<i>array type</i>

$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_k \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_k \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, [\bar{v}, e_k, \bar{e}] \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, [\bar{v}, e'_k, \bar{e}] \rangle}$	(R-ARRAY)
$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, e_1[e_2] \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_1[e_2] \rangle}$	(R-ACCESS1)
$\frac{\langle \Sigma, e_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, e'_2 \rangle}{\langle \Sigma, v_1[e_2] \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v_1[e'_2] \rangle}$	(R-ACCESS2)
$\frac{v = k - 1}{\langle \Sigma, [v_1, \dots, v_k, \dots, v_n][v] \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \Sigma, v_k \rangle}$	(R-ACCESS3)

$\langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, [1, x, 2][x] \rangle \rightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, [1, 1, 2][x] \rangle \rightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, [1, 1, 2][1] \rangle \rightarrow \langle \{x \mapsto 1\}, 1 \rangle$