

SWEN430 - Compiler Engineering

Lecture 7 - Typing I

David J. Pearce

*School of Engineering and Computer Science
Victoria University of Wellington*

Java Type Checking

The Java compiler must check:

- That primitive types are used correctly
- That reference types are used correctly
- That methods and fields exist with appropriate types
- That method overriding respects modifiers
- That generic types are used correctly
- That wildcard types are used correctly
- ...

```
class Test implements Inter <? extends Comparable> {  
    double f(float f) {  
        int x = (int) f;  
        return f;  
    }  
    void g(Test x, Inter <? extends Comparable> y) {  
        y = x; // up cast  
        x = (Test) y; // down cast  
    }  
}
```

Java Binary Numeric Conversion

JLS 5.6.2 Binary Numeric Promotion:

When an operator applies binary numeric promotion to a pair of operands, each of which must denote a value that is convertible to a numeric type, the following rules apply, in order, using widening conversion (Section 5.1.2) to convert operands as necessary:

- *If any of the operands is of a reference type, unboxing conversion (Section 5.1.8) is performed. Then:*
- *If either operand is of type double, the other is converted to double.*
- *Otherwise, if either operand is of type float, the other is converted to float.*
- *Otherwise, if either operand is of type long, the other is converted to long.*
- *Otherwise, both operands are converted to type int.*

```
int i = 2;  
float f = 1.0f;  
double d = (i * f) * 2.0;
```

Recall, the λ -calculus!

$t ::=$	(Terms)	$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2}$	(R-App1)
x	(Variables)		
v	(Values)	$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2}$	(R-App2)
$t t$	(App)		
$v ::=$	(Values)	$\frac{}{(\lambda x.t_1) v_1 \longrightarrow t_1[x \mapsto v_1]}$	(R-App3)
$\lambda x.t$	(Function)		
c	(Integer)		

- A **simple** language — *syntax* and *semantics* on one slide!
- Useful starting point for **formalising** programming languages
- Term $t_1[x \mapsto t_2]$ is t_1 with all occurrences of x replaced with t_2

Type Checking

$$\left(\left(\lambda x. (\lambda y. x \ y) \right) \left((\lambda z. z) \ 1 \right) \right) \ 1$$

- This program gets **stuck** before producing a *value*!
 - In languages like C, programs never get stuck ... they just crash!
 - In typesafe languages (e.g. Java), programs always raise errors before doing bad things
- Type checking checks our program will not get stuck
 - This is the approach used in **statically typed** languages
- Type checking suffers from **limitations of precision**
 - I.e. Correct programs can fail to type check

Simply Typed λ -Calculus - Syntax and Semantics

$t ::=$ (Terms)
| x (Variables)
| v (Values)
| $t t$ (Apps)

$v ::=$ (Values)
| $\lambda x : T. t$ (Function)
| c (Integer)

$T ::=$ (Types)
| $T \rightarrow T$ (Fun type)
| int (Int type)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2} \quad (\text{R-App1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2} \quad (\text{R-App2})$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x : T. t_2) v_1 \longrightarrow t_2[x \mapsto v_1]} \quad (\text{R-App3})$$

Notation

$$\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1$$

- Γ is the **typing environment**
 - A set of pairs (v, T) mapping variables to types
 - Records the declared type for every variable
- $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1$ is the **typing judgement**
 - In typing environment Γ , term t_1 can be shown to have type T_1

Type checking rules for λ -Calculus

$$\frac{}{\vdash n : \text{int}} \text{ (T-Int)} \quad \frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ (T-Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : T_1\} \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ (T-Fun)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_2} \text{ (T-App)}$$

Examples: 1 $(\lambda x : \text{int}. x)$ $(\lambda x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. x)$

Example Derivation

- A typed version of our **stuck** term:

$$\left(\left(\lambda x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} . (\lambda y : \text{int} . x \ y) \right) \left((\lambda z : \text{int} . z) \ 1 \right) \right) \ 1$$

- A *derivation tree* can be used to check the term's type:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{z : \text{int}\} \vdash z : \text{int}}{\vdash (\lambda z : \text{int} . z) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \text{T-Fun} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 1 : \text{int}} \quad \text{T-Int}}{\vdash ((\lambda z : \text{int} . z) \ 1) : \text{int}} \quad \text{T-App}}{\vdash (\lambda x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} . (\lambda y : \text{int} . (x \ y))) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})} \quad \text{T-Fun} \quad \text{T-Fun}}$$

- No valid typing for `int` applied to `(int → int) → (int → int)`
 - So, our **stuck** term will not type check

Precision of Type Checking

Progress Theorem (Soundness)

A well-typed term t is not stuck (either t is a value or there exists some transition $t \rightarrow t'$)

Preservation Theorem (Soundness)

If a well-typed term is evaluated one step, then the resulting term is also well typed (in fact, it has the same type)

Completeness

If evaluating term t does not get **stuck**, then there exists a valid typing of t

- Our type system is sound, but not complete (this is impossible)
- There are valid programs which cannot be typed, such as:

$$\left(\lambda f.f \ f \ 1 \right) \lambda x.x \longrightarrow \left((\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) \right) 1 \longrightarrow (\lambda x.x) 1 \longrightarrow 1$$

WHILE Compiler

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : T[] \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1[e_2] : T} \quad (\text{T-ArrayAccess})$$

```
public Type check(Expr.ArrayAccess expr, Map<String, Type> environment) {  
    // Type source expression  
    Type srcType = check(expr.getSource(), environment);  
    // Type index expression  
    Type indexType = check(expr.getIndex(), environment);  
    // Check index has integer type  
    checkInstanceOf(indexType, expr.getIndex(), Type.Int.class);  
    // Check source has array type (of some kind)  
    Type.Array t = checkInstanceOf(srcType, expr.getSource(), Type.Array.class);  
    // Extract element type!  
    return t.getElement();  
}
```

- Typing rules for WHILE encoded in `TypeChecker`

Subtyping

```
Integer i = new Integer(1);
```

```
Float f = new Float(1.0);
```

```
Number n;
```

```
Object o;
```

```
n=i; // valid (because of subtyping)
```

```
n=f; // valid (because of subtyping)
```

```
n=o; // invalid (without cast)
```

- In Object-Oriented Languages (e.g. Java), *subtyping* is everywhere!
- In Java, subtyping and *subclassing* are the same thing
 - But, not in e.g. C++ (due to private inheritance)
- **Notation:** $T_1 \leq T_2$ means T_1 is a subtype of T_2

Simply Typed λ -Calculus with Subtyping (λ_{\leq})

- Want subtype hierarchy where $\text{real} \leq \text{num}$ and $\text{int} \leq \text{num}$

- Want add operators:

- $+ : (\text{int}, \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}$ (faster integer-only addition)

- Then, we could type “useful” functions such as:

```
let  f = ( $\lambda x : \text{num}, y : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{int}. 1 + (y\ x)$ )  
      in f 2.0 ( $\lambda z : \text{num}. 1$ )
```

- Note, “**let** $x = e_1$ **in** e_2 ” is syntactic sugar for $(\lambda x. e_2) e_1$

Syntax and Semantics for λ_{\leq}

$t ::=$ (Terms)
 | x (Variables)
 | v (Values)
 | $t t$ (Apps)

$v ::=$ (Values)
 | $\lambda x : T. t$ (Function)
 | c (Integer)
 | $c.c$ (Real)

$T ::=$ (Types)
 | $T \rightarrow T$ (Fun type)
 | int (Int type)
 | real (Real type)
 | num (Num type)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2} \quad (\text{App1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2} \quad (\text{App2})$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x : T. t_2) v_1 \longrightarrow t_2[x \mapsto v_1]} \quad (\text{FunApp})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 + t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 + t_2} \quad (\text{Add1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 + t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 + t'_2} \quad (\text{Add2})$$

$$\frac{}{v_1 + v_2 \longrightarrow v_3} \quad (\text{Add3})$$

Subtyping relation for λ_{\leq}

$$\frac{}{T_1 \leq T_1} \quad (\text{S-Refl}) \quad \frac{T_1 \leq T_2 \quad T_2 \leq T_3}{T_1 \leq T_3} \quad (\text{S-Trans})$$

$$\frac{}{\text{real} \leq \text{num}} \quad (\text{S-Real}) \quad \frac{}{\text{int} \leq \text{num}} \quad (\text{S-Int})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2 \quad T_2 \leq T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_3} \quad (\text{T-App})$$

- Subtyping relation is **reflexive** and **transitive**.
- T-App now supports **subtyping**.

Function Subtyping

$$\frac{T_1 \geq T_3 \quad T_2 \leq T_4}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \leq T_3 \rightarrow T_4} \quad (\text{S-Fun})$$

- Subtyping of functions is **contravariant** in parameter position
 - Contravariant is when you can replace a type with a super type
 - Prevents this: $(\lambda x : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{int}. x \ 1.0) (\lambda y : \text{int}. y + y)$
- Subtyping of functions is **covariant** in result position
 - Covariant is when you can replace a type with a subtype
 - Prevents this: $(\lambda x : \text{num} \rightarrow \text{int}. (x \ 1.0) + 1) (\lambda y : \text{num}. y)$