The Fitness Function For Programming Languages: A Matter of Taste?

Gilad Bracha SAP Labs



There are two kinds of languages - those that everyone complains about and those that aren't used

- Bjarne Stroustrup



Fitness: Success in the Market?





Examples



C



•















Counter-Examples



















Today's Market, or Tomorrow's?

Faustian Bargain: Success in this life, Oblivion in the hereafter



Academic Criteria





Implementation



Empirical studies





What if Smalltalk was Invented Today?

Jonathan Edwards:

Reviewer 1 comments: You propose three new language features: encapsulation, polymorphism, and inheritance. Even though your paper was the maximum 12 pages, it discussed each of these concepts only informally, and did not do any rigorous evaluation.



What if Smalltalk was Invented Today?

Reviewer 2 comments: You claim that object orientation is in some sense more natural and intuitive than procedural programming, but offer only anecdotes and hand-picked examples as justification.



So is it just Taste?



There is nothing so practical as a good theory

- Philip Wadler





- Gilad Bracha



How do we Judge a Theory?



- Meta-theory?
- Implementation?
- Popularity?





How do we Judge a Theory?



- Consistency, Comprehensiveness
- Beauty and elegance \bigcirc



Predictive value



Language can be based on Theory



- Relational algebra
- Functional programming
- 0
- Parser combinators



Parser Combinators

BNF

id = letter (letter | digit) *





Parser Combinators

BNF

id = letter (letter | digit) *

Newspeak

id = letter, (letter | digit) star.



Parser Combinators

BNF

id = letter (letter | digit) *

Newspeak

id = letter, (letter | digit) star.

Javanese

id = letter().seq(letter().or(digit()).star());



id = letter, (letter | digit) star.



id = letter, (letter | digit) star.





id = letter, (letter | digit) star.



id = letter, (letter | digit) star.



id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.





id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.







id = letter, (letter | digit) star.





id = letter, (letter | digit) star.









Why is this Pretty?

id = *letter,* (*letter* | *digit*) *star.*



Why is this Ugly?

id = letter().seq(letter().or(digit()).star());





Why is this Ugly?

id = letter().seq(letter().or(digit()).star());

VS.

id = *letter* (*letter* | *digit*) *

VS.

id = *letter,* (*letter* | *digit*) *star.*



Why is this Ugly?

id = letter().seq(letter().or(digit()).star());

VS.

id = *letter* (*letter* | *digit*) *

VS.

id = *letter,* (*letter* | *digit*) *star.*



Why is it Ugly?

A programming language is low level when its programs require attention to the irrelevant - Alan Perlis



Compositionality

- Output Description Of Values
- Operators that map this space into itself
- Small core is a basis for infinite space



Pattern Matching

Joint work with Felix Geller and Robert Hirschfeld at HPI, University of Potsdam



Pattern Literals



<num: n> <multiply: left by: right>





Pattern Combinators

p1 | p2
p1 & p2
p1 >> p2
p1 >> p2
p => actionBlock
p not





Pattern Combinators in Action

fib: n = (n case: <1> | <2> => [^n-1] otherwise:[^(fib: n-2) + (fib: n-1)]



Pattern Matching

class Term = ()()
class Num of: n = Term (| val = n. |)
(match: pat = (^pat num: val.))
class Var named: n = Term (| name = n. |)
(match: pat = (^pat var: name.))
class Product of: n by: m = Term (| left = n. right = m. |)
(match: pat = (^pat multiply: left by: right.))



Pattern Matching

simplify: expr = (^expr case: <multiply: ?x by: <num: 1>> => [x] otherwise: [expr].



Higher Order Patterns in Action

simplify: expr = (^expr case: <multiply: ?x by: <num: 1>> => [x] otherwise: [expr].



Language can be based on Theory

But, more importantly



Tuesday, November 2, 2010

48

Language may be the Theory







Smalltalk, Self : Objects



Programs are Models; Languages are Theories for building Programs



Judge Languages as Theories

Consistency

0

- Comprehensiveness- does it model what I want? How easily and how accurately
- Beautiful/Elegant (compositional)
- Predictive value
 - Can easily can I tell
 - What a program does



How hard it is to build a program

Good Aesthetics makes Good Software



This file is licensed under the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution ShareAlike 3.0</u> License. In short: you are free to share and make derivative works of the file under the conditions that you appropriately attribute it, and that you distribute it only under a license identical to this one. <u>Official license</u>.

The Newspeak eye oused in the bullets, slide background etc. was designed by Victoria Bracha and is used by permission.

The image on slide 3 is by Turelio licensed under CC-BY-SA-2.5 and originates on <u>wikimedia</u>

