

Finding the Mode of a list

- Mean = total/count
- Median = middle value, separating top 50% from bottom 50%
- Mode = most frequent number.

23,22,49,25,43,23,5,31,43,27,21,45,43,16,5,21,18,27,39,18,21,7,42,28,21,19

Algorithm:

- set *mode* to the first number and *modeCount* to 1
- **for** each value in the list:
 - step through the list to count how many times value occurs in the list
 - **if** *count* > *modeCount* **then** set *mode* and *modeCount* to *value* and *count*

What's the cost if there are n numbers?

Mode: the bad way

```

public int mode(List<Integer> numbers){
O(1)  int mode = numbers.get(0);  1 x O(1)
O(1)  int modeCount = 1;         1 time
      for (int value : numbers){
O(1)  int count = 0;             n times
      for (int other : numbers){
O(1)  if (other == value) {     nxn times
O(1)  count++;                  n ... nxn times
O(1)  }
      }
O(1)  if (count > modeCount) {  n times
O(1)  mode = value;             1 ... n times
O(1)  modeCount = count;       1 ... n times
      }
O(1)  return mode;             1 time
}

```

Analysis

n*n iterations

n iterations

Finding the Mode of a list faster

- Much easier to see if the list is sorted in order:

23,22,49,25,43,23,5,31,43,27,21,45,43,16,5,21,18,27,39,18,21,7,42,28,21,19

5,5,7,16,18,18,19,21,21,21,21,22,23,23,25,27,27,28,31,39,42,43,43,43,45,49

- Algorithm

- sort the list
- set *mode* to first number and *modeCount* to 1
- set *count* to 1
- **step** through the list from index 1
 - **if** the number is the same as the previous number, **then** increment *count*
 - **else**
 - **if** $count > modeCount$, **then** set *mode* and *modeCount* to previous value and *count*
 - reset *count* to 1
- **if** $count > modeCount$, **then** set *mode* and *modeCount* to previous value and *count*

What's the cost if there are n numbers?

Finding the Mode of a list faster

Analysis

- Algorithm

- sort the list $O(n \log(n))$ 1 time
- set *mode* to first number and *modeCount* to 1 $O(1)$ 1 time
- set *count* to 1 $O(1)$ 1 time
- **step** through the list from index 1
 - **if** number is same as previous number, **then** $O(1)$ n times
 - increment *count* $O(1)$ 1 ... n times
 - **else**
 - **if** *count* > *modeCount*, **then** n ... 1 times
 - $O(1)$ • set *mode* and *modeCount* to previous number and *count* n ... 1 times
 - reset *count* to 1 $O(1)$ n ... 1 times
 - **if** *count* > *modeCount*, **then** $O(1)$ 1 time
- $O(1)$ • set *mode* and *modeCount* to previous value and *count*

} n iterations

Total: $O(n \log(n))$

Finding the Mode of a list even faster

- Count using a map to count without sorting:

23,22,49,25,43,23,5,31,43,27,21,45,43,16,5,21,18,27,39,18,21,7,42,28,21,19

5-2 7-1 16-1 18-2 19-1 21-4 22-1 23-2 25-1
27-2 28-1 31-1 39-1 42-1 43-3 45-1 49-1

- Algorithm

- **for** each value in the list
 - **if** the value is in the map, **then** increment the associated *count*
 - **else** add the value to the map with an associated count of 1.
- **for** each key in map,
 - **if** associated count > *modeCount*, **then** set *mode* and *modeCount* to key and count

What's the cost if there are n numbers?

Finding the Mode of a list even faster

Analysis

- Algorithm

n times	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> for each value in the list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> if the value is in map, then <ul style="list-style-type: none"> increment the associated <i>count</i> else <ul style="list-style-type: none"> add value to map with associated count = 1. 	$n \times O(1)$	containskey(key)
		$1 \dots n \times O(1)$	get(..) & put(..)
		$n \dots 1 \times O(1)$	put(key, 1)
n times	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> for each key in map, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> if associated count > <i>modeCount</i>, then <ul style="list-style-type: none"> set <i>mode</i> and <i>modeCount</i> to key and count 	$O(1)$	get all keys
		$n \times O(1)$	get(key)
		$1 \dots n \times O(1)$	

Total: $O(n)$